

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Region III - 6th & Walnut Sts.

Philadelphia, Pa. 19106

Hooker Chemical Co.

MDD056497589

SUBJECT: RCRA Inspection-

Salisbury Converting
DATE: May 25, 1982

FROM: Harry J. Weber, Environmental Scientist
Superfund/RCRA Compliance Section (3AW23) *HW*

File

Robert L. Collings

Thru: Chief, Water & RCRA Enforcement Section (3RC12)

BASED UPON A REVIEW OF THE RCRA INSPECTION REPORT FOR THE FACILITY
REFERENCED ABOVE, I HAVE DETERMINED THAT NO FURTHER ACTION IS
REQUIRED AT THIS TIME.

DHS Inspection Form
Generators/TSD Facilities

EPA ID Number

YR MO DY

TIME

TELEPHONE

10 0056497589

811124

0900

301-749-0344

Owner/Operator Hooker Chemical + Plastic Corporation Facility Name Hooker Chemical

Address Box 14, Graddock Parkway, Rt 6 Salisbury, Maryland Zip 21801

Description of Work Activity Manufacture, and Print, and Laminate PVC Film

I. General (This must be completed for generators and TSD Facilities)

TRAINING & EQUIPMENT

- 1) Have facility personnel completed classroom/on-site training? ☒ Yes, No. Lecture and Film training conducted 11/07/80.
- 2) Are records maintained of: ☒ Job titles/names of employees ☒ Job descriptions, ☒ Type/amount of continuing training?
- 3) Does the facility have the following equipment? ☒ Internal communication/ alarm system for on-site personnel, ☒ device for summoning emergency assistance, ☒ adequate fire control sprinkler equipment, water, & suppression chemicals, system + ☒ list of aforementioned equipment. Fire extinguisher?
- 4) Does facility have adequate area for emergency movement? ☒ Yes, No.
- 5) Does facility have contingency plan for:
☒ Personnel to implement emergency procedures to fire, explosions, and unplanned releases to air, soil, & water?
☒ Responding emergency units to provide assistance during emergency situations?
☒ A list of emergency equipment needed to cope with situation?
- 6) Are emergency response coordinators listed by name, address, & phone number? ☒ Yes, No.
Not address
- Is there an evacuation plan if recommended? ☒ Yes, No.
- 8) Are emergency coordinators available on twenty-four hour basis? ☒ Yes, No.

- 1) Is waste disposed of on-site or off-site or both?

Note: If stored on-site for more than 90 days Part III must also be completed.

- 2) Amount of waste(kilograms) 3350 Kg /month /year. (An avg. over 12 mos)
approx 995 gal/month (179, 80)
- 3) Category of DHS, Ignitable, Reactive, Corrosive, Toxic, EP Toxic, RCRA Listed.
- 4) Is the generator presently NO Treating, NO Storing, NO Disposing?

B. Manifest (10.51.03.04)

- 1) Is Maryland manifest system in operation for off-site shipment? Yes, No.
- 2) Is TSD Facility to receive DHS identified by YES Name, YES Address, EPA ID Number YES?
- 3) Is alternate facility identified Yes, No?
- 4) Is generator identified by YES Name, YES Address, YES Telephone number, YES MD/EPA ID Number?
- 5) Is each transporter identified by YES Name, YES EPA ID Number, Maryland Certification Number? YES Vehicle Certification Number?
- 6) Is waste properly described? Yes, No.
- 7) Is quality of waste described by Unit of Weight, Volume?

described in gallons (drums; 55 gal. utilized)

1) Facility Type

- | | | |
|-----------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Treater: | <u> </u> Filtration | <u> </u> Biological |
| | <u> </u> Thermal Treatment | <u> </u> Treatment |
| | <u> </u> Recycling/Recovery | <u> </u> Reprocessing |
| | <u> </u> Waste Oil | <u> </u> Solvent Recovery |
| | <u> </u> Chemical Treatment | <u> </u> Land Treatment |
| | <u> </u> Physical Treatment | <u> </u> Other <u> </u> |
| Storer: | <u> </u> Open Pile | <u> </u> Below Ground tanks |
| | <u> </u> Surface | <u> </u> Other <u> </u> |
| | <u> </u> Impoundment | <u> </u> |
| | <u> </u> Drum | <u> </u> |
| | <u> </u> Above Ground | <u> </u> |
| | <u> </u> Tank(s) | <u> </u> |
| Disposer: | <u> </u> Landfill Operation | <u> </u> Other <u> </u> |
| | <u> </u> Incineration | <u> </u> |
| | <u> </u> Surface Impoundment | <u> </u> |

- 2) Does facility generate DHS? Yes, No

Note: If Yes then Part II must also be completed.

- 3) Does facility have waste analysis plan? Yes, No.
- 4) Can facility personnel identify DHS being handled? Yes, No.
- 5) Can facility personnel confirm that DHS received equal those on manifest form? Yes, No.
- 6) Is there a 24-Hour surveillance system to monitor and control entry to active portion of facility? Yes, No. If No, is there an artificial or natural boundary? Yes, No. Is there a means to control entry? Yes, No. Is there a restricted access sign posted? Yes, No.
- 7) Does facility have emergency equipment inspection log, written schedule for inspections, security devices, operating & structural prevention equipment?

identified by ___ Type,
___ Number?

- 9) Is proper certification noted and signed by generator? ___ Yes, ___ No.
- 10) Are adequate copies available for operator, transporter and TSD? ___ Yes, ___ No.

generator C. Pre-Transport Requirements (10.52.03.05)

- 1) Is each container marked with date accumulation began? ☒ Yes, ___ No.
- 2) Containers in good condition? ☒ Yes, ___ No.
If no, explain _____
- 3) Are containers properly labeled and/or placarded? ☒ Yes, ___ No.
- 4) Does generator have emergency contingency plan? ☒ Yes, ___ No.

Does the TSD facility have a written operating record which contains the following information:

- 1) ___ description & quantity of DHS received.
- 2) ___ method & date of DHS treatment, storage, or disposal.
- 3) ___ location & quantity of each DHS location in facility.
- 4) ___ detailed records & results of waste analysis & treatability tests performed.
- 5) ___ detailed operating summary reports.
- 6) ___ description of emergency incidents that required implementation of contingency plan.
- 7) ___ records & results of inspections of emergency equipment, TSD systems & Hazardous waste areas.

C. Special Permit Requirements

List any special Permit requirements that are not in full compliance.

Inspector's Name: W. Fortune

Agency: Office of Environ Prog Waste Mgmt Admin

Date of Inspection: 11/24/81

Title: Inspector: Waste Management Administration

Location: 201 W. Boston St Baltimore, Maryland

Observations and Comments

Inspector

Co-Inspector

Name: W. Fortune

Name: _____

Title: Natural Resource Biologist

Title: _____

Date: 11/24/81

Date: _____

Phone: 301 - 383 - 6650

Phone: _____

Purpose of Inspection: ROUTINE routine/scheduled

complaint

other

Weather during inspection: overcast, temperature - upper 30's

Comments: The waste solvent drum storage area was inspected and
the following was found: (1) Nineteen (19) 55-gallon drums of
waste solvents (2) Drums are properly labelled, each exhibiting
the starting accumulation date (3) No leakage or spillage
was observed in the drum storage (waste solvent) area (4) The
earliest starting accumulation date observed was 10/12/81.
An oil boom has been placed (deployed) at the outfall

OVER

of the roof drainage system (at the eastern most cutfall).

The most recent off-site shipment (manifested) was conducted 10/13/81. →

waste Solvent, NOS Flammable	3630 gallons
methyl Ethyl Ketone Liquid	66 drums

EPA Waste Type	D001	concentration	99.9% by
----------------	------	---------------	----------

Hauler: Marisol, Inc.

Facility: Marisol, Inc. Middlesex, N.J.

Once a week, the precipitator plates of the electrostatic precipitators are washed down with water containing a cleaner. The washwater is held in a 500 gallon wash tank to separate. A soap/plasticizer oil - water emulsion is skimmed off and flows to the 5,000 gal. underground waste oil tank (other source of material entering this tank is water and lubricating oil from the calender). The ^{remaining} wastewater leads to the city sewer (it has been tested and determined suitable for the sanitary sewer). The waste oil tank is periodically pumped and hauled to American Recovery. The contents of the waste oil tank were discussed this date. The above will be discussed with Industrial Waste personnel of the Waste Management Administration and pertinent information relayed back to the above facility.

A copy of this report was left with Barry Seldomridge, Plant Engineer.



State of Maryland
Department of Health and Mental Hygiene
Office of Environmental Programs
201 W. Preston St., Balto. MD 21201

DHS Inspection Form
Generators/TSD Facilities

YR MO DY
19 11 11

TIME
09 15

EPA ID Number

162056499589

TELEPHONE

301-568-7757

Owner/Operator Accumulated Chemicals Facility Name Accumulated Chemicals

Address RT. 4, Bx. 27, Goddard Station, Solersburg, MD Zip 21801

Description of Work Activity Calibration from Laboratory Manual

I. Generators

A. Description (10.51.03.01-03)

- 1) Does the Facility generate or has it accumulated those quantities of hazardous waste described in 10.51.02.05-C? Yes, No.
- 2) Has the facility obtained an EPA identification number? Yes, No.
- 3) Describe the amount of waste generated. (day, week or month) Approx. 6000 lbs/month
- 4) Under which category is the waste(s)?
Ignitable Reactive Corrosive
EP Toxic RCRA Listed

B. Manifest (10.51.03.04)

- 1) Is Maryland manifest system in operation for off-site shipment? Yes, No.
- 2) Is TSD Facility to receive DHS identified by Name, Address, EPA ID Number?
- 3) Is alternate facility identified? Yes, No.
- 4) Is generator identified by Name, Address, Telephone Number, MD/EPA ID Number?
- 5) Is each transporter identified by Name, EPA ID Number, Maryland Certification Number?
- 6) Is waste properly described? Yes, No.
- 7) Is shipment date marked? Yes, No.
- 8) Is quantity of waste described by Unit of Weight, Volume?
- 9) Are containers to be loaded identified by Type, Number?
- 10) Is proper certification noted and signed by generator? Yes, No.
- 11) Are adequate copies available for operator, transporter and TSD? Yes, No.

C. Pre-Transport Requirements (10.51.03.05)

- 1) Is each container marked with date accumulation began? Yes, No. If yes, has any waste been stored over 90 days? Yes, No. How much _____
- 2) Are containers in good condition? Yes, No. If no, explain _____
- 3) Are containers properly labeled? Yes, No.
- 4) Does generator have approved emergency contingency plan? Yes, No.

D. Recordkeeping and Reporting (10.51.03.06)

- 1) Does the generator have: copies of all signed manifests from the previous three years? Yes, No; copies of each Annual Report and Exception Report? Yes, No.
- 2) Does the generator retain, for a period of three years, all wastes analyses? Yes, No.
- 3) Has the generator filed Exception Reports as required by 10.51.03.06 C? Yes, No.

II. Treatment, Storage, Disposal (TSD)

A. Site characterization (10.51.05.02)

- 1) Facility Type

<input type="checkbox"/> Thermal Treatment	<input type="checkbox"/> Biological Treatment
<input type="checkbox"/> Recycling/Recovery	<input type="checkbox"/> Land Treatment
<input type="checkbox"/> Waste Oil	<input type="checkbox"/> Incineration
<input type="checkbox"/> Chemical Treatment	<input type="checkbox"/> Landfill Operation
<input type="checkbox"/> Physical Treatment	<input type="checkbox"/> Below Ground Tanks
<input type="checkbox"/> Open Pile	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Impoundment	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drums	
<input type="checkbox"/> Above Ground Tank(s)	

- 2) Does facility generate DHS? Yes, No.
- 3) Does facility have waste analysis plan? Yes, No. If yes, are the procedures of that plan being followed? Yes, No.
- 4) Can facility personnel identify DHS being handled? Yes, No.
- 5) Can facility personnel confirm that DHS received equal those on manifest for? Yes, No.
- 6) Is there a 24-Hour surveillance system to monitor active portion of facility? Yes, No. If No, is there an artificial or natural boundary? Yes, No. Is there a means to control entry? Yes, No. Is there a restricted access sign posted? Yes, No.
- 7) Does facility have: emergency equipment inspection log, written schedule for inspections, security devices, operating & structural prevention equipment?
- 8) Have facility personnel completed classroom/on-site training? Yes, No. Are records maintained of: Job titles/names of employees, Job descriptions, Type/amount of continuing training?
- 9) Are general requirements for Ignitable, Reactive or Incompatible Wastes as required in 10.51.05.02 H addressed? Yes, No.

B. Preparedness and Prevention (10.51.05.03)

- 1) Facility has the following equipment? Internal communication/alarm system for on-site personnel, device for summoning emergency assistance, adequate fire control equipment, water, & suppression chemicals, list of aforementioned equipment.
- 2) Does facility have adequate area for emergency movement? Yes, No.

C. Contingency Plan and Emergency Procedures (10.51.05.04)

- 1) Does facility have an approved contingency plan for: Personnel to implement emergency procedures to fire, explosions, and unplanned releases to air, soil and water? Responding emergency units to provide assistance during emergency situations? A list of emergency equipment needed to cope with situation?
- 2) Are emergency response coordinators listed by name, address, & phone number? Yes, No.
- 3) Is there an evacuation plan if recommended? Yes, No.
- 4) Are emergency coordinators available on twenty-four hour basis? Yes, No.

D. Manifest System, Recordkeeping, and Reporting (10.51.05.05)

- Facility has a written operating record which contains the following information:
- 1) description & quantity of DHS received.
 - 2) method & date of DHS treatment, storage, or disposal.
 - 3) location & quantity at each DHS location in facility.
 - 4) detailed records & results of waste analysis & treatability tests performed.
 - 5) detailed operating summary reports.
 - 6) description of emergency incidents that required implementation of contingency plan.
 - 7) records & results of inspections of emergency equipment, TSD systems & hazardous waste areas.
 - 8) Has facility retained, for at least 3 years, copies of all manifests? Yes, No.

E. Groundwater Monitoring (10.51.05.06)

- 1) Has facility implemented a groundwater monitoring program? ____ Yes, ____ No, ____ N/A.
- 2) Are samples from the groundwater monitoring system being analyzed according to the groundwater sampling and analyses plan? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 3) Is this plan set up in accordance with 10.51.05.06 C? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 4) Has groundwater quality assessment program been prepared? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 5) Are proper groundwater sampling and analyses records kept? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 6) Are the necessary reports on groundwater monitoring information being forwarded to the Secretary? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 7) Do the reports match the facility records? ____ Yes, ____ No.

F. Closure, Post-closure, and Financial Requirement (10.51.05.07 & .08)

- 1) Does the facility have an approved closure plan that meets the financial requirements? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 2) For surface impoundments, land treatment, and landfills, does the facility have an approved post-closure plan that meets the financial requirements? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 3) Does facility maintain liability insurance? ____ Yes, ____ No.

G. Container Management (10.51.05.09)

- 1) Are all containers: (a) ____ in good condition, i.e., no signs of leakage, corrosion, or any other deterioration/deformation; (b) ____ lined or made of compatible material such that hazardous wastes placed into them will not result in reaction or corrosion; (c) ____ sealed during storage.
- 2) Are storage areas for hazardous waste containers inspected by owner/operator at least once a week? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 3) Is an inspection log maintained? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 4) Are containers holding ignitable or reactive waste located at least 50 feet from the facility's property line? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 5) Are incompatible wastes placed in separate containers? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 6) Are storage containers holding hazardous wastes which are incompatible with nearby materials stored in containers, tanks, piles, or surface impoundments separated by dikes, berms, walls, or other devices? ____ Yes, ____ No.

H. Tanks (10.51.05.10)

- 1) Are all tanks in good condition, i.e., no signs of leakage, corrosion, or any other deterioration: ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 2) Are uncovered tanks operated to ensure a minimum of two feet of freeboard? ____ Yes, ____ No.
If not, is tank equipped with a containment structure (e.g., dike or trench), a drainage control system, or a diversion structure (e.g., standby tank) with a capacity that equals or exceeds the volume of top 2 ft. of the tank? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 3) Are tanks with continuous inflow of hazardous waste equipped with a means to stop this inflow (e.g., waste feed cut-off system or by-pass to a standby tank)? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 4) Are waste analyses conducted or written documentation obtained before placing a substantially different hazardous waste into tank used for storage or treatment? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 5) Are daily inspections conducted for discharge control equipment (e.g., by-pass systems, waste feed cut-off systems and drainage systems)? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 6) Is data gathered from monitoring equipment (e.g., pressure and temperature gauges) at least once each operating day? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 7) Is the level of waste in the tank checked at least once each operating day? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 8) Is (are) the tank(s) inspected weekly to detect corrosion or leaking of fixtures or seams? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 9) Are the results of these inspections recorded in an inspection log or summary? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 10) Are ignitable or reactive wastes stored in tanks? ____ Yes, ____ No. If yes:
 - a) Is the waste treated, rendered, or mixed before or immediately after placement in the tank so that the resulting waste, mixture, or dissolution of materials no longer meets the definition of Ignitable or reactive wastes under Parts 261.21 or 261.23 of the RCRA Regulations? ____ Yes, ____ No.

- b) Is waste stored or treated in such a way that it is protected from material or conditions which may cause the waste to ignite or react? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- c) Is owner/operator of a facility which treats or stores ignitable or reactive wastes in covered tanks in compliance with the National Fire Protection Association's (NEPA's) buffer zone requirements for tanks contained in tables 2-1 through 2-6 of the "Flammable and Combustible Code—1977"? ____ Yes, ____ No.

I. Surface Impoundments (10.51.05.11)

- 1) Is two feet of freeboard maintained in the surface impoundment? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 2) Do all earthen dikes have protective covers (e.g., grass, shale or rock) to minimize wind and water erosion and to preserve dike structural integrity? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 3) Are waste analyses conducted or written documentation obtained before placing a substantially different hazardous waste into a surface impoundment used for storage or treatment? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 4) Is the freeboard level inspected daily? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 5) Is the surface impoundment, including dikes and vegetation, inspected weekly to detect leaks, deterioration, or failures in the impoundment? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 6) Are the results of these inspections recorded in an inspection log or summary? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 7) Are ignitable or reactive wastes stored in a surface impoundment? ____ Yes, ____ No. If yes:
 - a) Is the waste treated, rendered, or mixed before or immediately after placement in the impoundment so that the resulting waste, mixture or dissolution of material no longer meets the definition of ignitable or reactive waste under Parts 261.21 or 261.23 of the RCRA Regulations? ____ Yes, ____ No.
 - b) Are incompatible wastes segregated in separate surface impoundments so that spontaneous reactions are avoided? ____ Yes, ____ No.

J. Waste Pile (10.51.05.12)

- 1) Is wind dispersal of the pile controlled? ____ Yes, ____ No, ____ Not Needed.
- 2) Are additions to the pile being analyzed prior to adding them to the pile? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 3) Is hazardous waste leachate or runoff collected? ____ Yes, ____ No. Is the pile protected from precipitation and runoff? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 4) Are ignitable or reactive wastes protected from materials or conditions that might cause it to ignite or react? ____ Yes, ____ No, ____ N/A.
- 5) Are incompatible wastes hauled in a manner as to assure separation? ____ Yes, ____ No, ____ N/A.

K. Land Treatment (10.51.05.13)

- 1) Will the use of land treatment result in the waste being less hazardous or non-hazardous? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 2) Is run-on diverted away from the active portion of the facility? ____ Yes, ____ No. Is run-off from the active portion of the facility collected? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 3) Has the proper waste analyses been performed? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 4) If food chain crops are to be grown on the active portion of the facility has the necessary documentation required been provided? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 5) Has the owner/operator written and implemented an unsaturated zone monitoring plan? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 6) Have the additional requirements for a closure and post-closure plan been addressed? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 7) Are ignitable or reactive wastes immediately incorporated into the soil? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 8) Are incompatible wastes hauled according to 10.51.05.13 I? ____ Yes, ____ No.

L. Landfills (10.51.05.14)

- 1) Is run-on diverted away from the facility's active portions? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 2) Is run-off collected from the landfill's active portions? ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 3) Has a hazardous waste determination been made on the run-off? (Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste) ____ Yes, ____ No.
- 4) Is the landfill managed so as to control wind dispersal? ____ Yes, ____ No.

- 5) Are the following items maintained in the operating record: _____ on a map, the exact location and dimensions, including depth, of each cell with respect to permanently surveyed benchmarks? _____ contents of each cell and approximate location of each hazardous waste type within the cell?
- 6) Are bulk, non-containerized or waste containing free liquids placed in the landfill? _____ Yes, _____ No. If yes: _____ is a leachate collection system available to remove leachate?, and _____ is the liquid stabilized or treated physically or chemically prior to disposal?
- 7) Are empty containers crushed flat or shredded before burial in the landfill? _____ Yes, _____ No.
- 8) Are containers holding liquid wastes (or waste containing free liquids placed in the landfill? _____ Yes, _____ No. If yes, describe containers on comments below.
- 9) Are ignitable or reactive wastes placed in a landfill? _____ Yes, _____ No. If yes: _____ is the waste treated, rendered, or mixed before or immediately after placement in the landfill so that the resulting waste, mixture, or dissolution of material no longer meets the definition of ignitable or reactive waste? _____ Are incompatible wastes segregated in different landfill cells?

M. Incinerator/Thermal Treatment (10.51.05.15 & .16)

- 1) Prior to burning waste not previously incinerated or thermally processed, does the operator conduct waste analysis for the following: _____ heating value of the waste; _____ halogen content and sulfur in the waste; _____ concentrations of lead and mercury unless documented data is available which show these elements not to be present?
- 2) Are instruments related to combustion and emission control monitored at least every 15 minutes? _____ Yes, _____ No.
- 3) Is the stack plume observed visually at least hourly for color and opacity? _____ Yes, _____ No, _____ N/A.
- 4) Is the incinerator or thermal process and associated equipment inspected daily for leaks, spills and fugitive emissions? _____ Yes, _____ No.
- 5) Is all of the above information documented in the facility's operating record? _____ Yes, _____ No.

N. Chemical, Physical and Biological Treatment (10.51.05.17)

- 1) Are all treatment processes or equipment in good condition, i.e., no signs of leakage, corrosion or any other deterioration? _____ Yes, _____ No.
- 2) Are treatment processes or equipment with continuous inflow of hazardous waste equipped with a means to stop the inflow? (e.g., waste feed cutoff system or bypass system to a standby containment device) _____ Yes, _____ No.

- 3) Are waste analyses performed or written documentation obtained before placing a substantially different hazardous waste into treatment processes or equipment? _____ Yes, _____ No.
- 4) Is this information recorded in the facility's operating record? _____ Yes, _____ No.
- 5) Are daily inspections conducted for discharge control equipment (e.g., bypass systems, waste feed cutoff systems, drainage systems and pressure relief systems)? _____ Yes, _____ No.
- 6) Is data gathered from monitoring equipment (e.g., pressure and temperature gauges) daily? _____ Yes, _____ No.
- 7) Are construction materials of the treatment process or equipment and the immediate surrounding area inspected weekly for signs of leakage, corrosion or any other deterioration? _____ Yes, _____ No.
- 8) Are the results of these inspections recorded in an inspection log or summary? _____ Yes, _____ No.
- 9) Are ignitable or reactive wastes placed in a treatment process? _____ Yes, _____ No. If yes: _____ Are wastes treated, rendered, or mixed before or immediately after placement in the treatment process or equipment so that the resulting waste, mixture, or dissolution of material no longer meets the definition of ignitable or reactive wastes under Section 261.21 or 261.23 of the RCRA Regulations? _____ Are wastes treated in such a way that they are protected from any material or conditions which may cause the waste to ignite or react?
- 10) Are incompatible wastes kept from being placed in the same treatment process or equipment? _____ Yes, _____ No.

O. Permit Requirements (10.51.07)

- 1) Does the facility have a DHS permit for its activity? _____ Yes, _____ No. *NA*
If no, has the facility submitted an application for a DHS permit? _____ Yes, _____ No. *NA*
- 2) List any special Permit requirements that are not in full compliance.

Comments: INSPECTION REVEALS THAT THIS COMPANY GENERATES M.E.H.M.

(F005) AS: (1) WASTE INH/MEN MIXTURE PERIODICALLY REMOVED FROM PRESS AND INVENTORY AND (2) SPENT SOLVENT (MEK) USED TO CLEAN PNEUM. CYLINDERS & STEEL BOTTOMS FROM A M.E.H. RECYCLING UNIT WITHIN THE PLANT. TOTAL CHS GENERATED IN 1988: 118,536 LBS. - FOR CURRENTLY FIVE (5) WASTE (STEEL BOTTOMS) DRUMS IN STORAGE; ALL 5 DRUMS LABELLED & DATED: 06-14-89 THRU 06-23-89. (6/23/89 - DATE TWO (2) SATELLITE ACCUMULATION DRUMS SITUATED ONE EACH TO EACH OF TWO PRESS ROOMS. SATELLITE DRUMS ARE MARKED TO IDENTIFY CONTENTS, BUT ARE CURRENTLY AFFIXED WITH OPEN TAPES. CHS INSPECTION LOG IS IN USE; MOST RECENT ENTRY IS: 06-24-89.

Inspector's Name: W.A. PRICE

Title: REGIONAL INSPECTOR

Facility Location: RT. 11, BOX 37, GUARDARD POKANS, SALTCHURCH, MD. 21861

Facility Rep. present during inspection: B. A. [Signature]

Title: [Signature]



Department of the Environment
Hazardous and Solid Waste Management Administration
2500 Broening Highway
Baltimore, Maryland 21224

Report of Observations

MDD 056497589

Type of Inspection/Observations: CNS-GENERATOR

Date 06/26/89

Facility Name: OCCIDENTAL CHEMICAL Co. - RT. 11, BN 37, SALISBURY, MD. 218

Remarks: - CONTINUED -

RECORD REVIEW REVEALS 1988 ANNUAL REPORT IS CURRENT; FOUR (4) OFF-SITE CNS SHIPMENTS THIS YEAR IN 1989. MOST RECENT SHIPMENT ON 06-12-89; 5,000 GAL (T.T.) WASTE FLAMMABLE LIQ. UN 1993 - F005; TRANSPORTED BY OLDOVER CORP. (VAD040159436) TO OLDOVER CORP. (VAD09844) ON MD. MANIFEST # MDC-0156198. RECEIPT BY FACILITY: 06-18. MOST RECENT ANNUAL PERSONNEL TRAINING CONDUCTED 09-29-88.

CONTINGENCY PLAN REQUIRES UPDATING TO REFLECT NEW STATE CONTACT: MD. DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENT: 301-631-3386(301-974-3551 (NIGHTS, WEEKENDS))

* CNS MANIFEST # MMT-0013852 - (UNIFORM MANIFEST) UTILIZED ON 03-08-89 DOES NOT REFLECT MD. STATE ID FOR WASTE HAULER. (OLDOVER CORP.)

** NOTE: IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF COMAR 26.13.03.05 E(3) A GENERATOR MAY ACCUMULATE AS MUCH AS 55 GAL. OF HAZ. WASTE (OR 1.0 QUART OF ACUTELY HAZ. WASTE) IN CONTAINERS AT OR NEAR ANY POINT OF GENERATION WHERE WASTES INITIALLY ACCUMULATE, WHICH IS UNDER THE CONTROL OF THE OPER. OF THE PROCESS GENERATING THE WASTE PROVIDED THAT THE GENERATOR COMPLIES WITH COMAR 26.13.05.09-B-D. (GOOD CONTAIN. COMPATIBLE WASTE/CONTAINER, SEALED CONTAINER EXCEPT WHEN ADDING OR REMOVING WASTES, ETC.)

** IN ACCORDANCE WITH COMAR 26.13.02.06 (26.13.02.06(C)(1)) RECYCLABLE MATERIALS STORED PRIOR TO RECYCLING (MATERIALS INTENDED FOR RECYCLING) ARE REGULATED UNDER ALL PROVISIONS OF COMAR 26.13.01-.10, INCLUDING MARKING, DATING, MAXIMUM 90 DAY STORAGE (OR 180 DAY STORAGE IF TOTAL WASTE VOLUME IS LESS THAN 500 KGS.) CONTAINMENT STORAGE, ETC.

COPIES OF MD. HAZARDOUS WASTE REGULATIONS: COMAR 26.13 ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE ADMINISTRATION; CONTACT 301-631-3343

Observer: William A. Price Person Interviewed: B. Eldon 6/26/89



Department of the Environment
Hazardous and Solid Waste Management Administration
201 West Preston Street, Baltimore, Maryland • 21201

Report of Observations

Type of Inspection/Observations: PHS GENERATOR Date 06.26.89

Facility Name: CONTINENTAL CHEMICAL CO. RD. 49, SALISBURY, MD. 21156

Remarks: CONTAINER

THE FOLLOWING CORRECTIVE ACTION IS
REQUIRED OF CONTINENTAL CHEMICAL COMPANY:
(1) IMMEDIATELY UPON RECEIPT OF THIS NOTICE,
INSURE THAT ALL WASTE CONTAINERS ARE SECURED
CLOSED DURING STORAGE EXCEPT WHEN ADDING OR
REMOVING WASTE (E.G. SPILLAGE ACCUMULATION) AND
IS ACCORDANCE WITH COMPT. 06.17.03.05 F(3) & 06.17.05.07
(2) WITHIN TWENTY (20) CALENDAR DAYS FROM DATE
OF THIS NOTICE, REVISE THE EXISTING CONTINGENCY
PLAN TO REFLECT CHANGES IN THE NAME & ADDRESS
FOR THE STATE OF MD. CAPITAL: MD. DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENT
AND EIGHTH FLOOR, BALTIMORE, MD. 21204; 301-634-3
1000; 301-974-3551 (NIGHTS).

NOTE: ITEMS (1) AND (2) COMPLETED AND A COMPLIANCE
FILE WAS PRESENT AT THE SITE ON 06-26-89.

Observer: [Signature] Person Interviewed: [Signature]

Inspector: W. N. PRICE
Address: WDE-2500 BROENING
BALTIMORE, MD. 21224
Telephone No: 301-631-3400

RCRA LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTION
GENERATOR CHECKLIST

I. HANDLER IDENTIFICATION

OCCIDENTAL CHEMICAL CORP. RT. 11, Bx. 37
A. Handler Name B. Street (or other identifier)
GODDARD PKWY. MARYLAND 21801 WICOMICO
C. City D. State E. Zip Code F. County Name
MFG. VINYL FILMS
G. Nature of Business; Identification of Operations: SIC Code(s)
MDD 056497589
H. EPA ID #
BARRY SELDOMRIDGE 301-548-7759
I. Handler Contact (Name and Phone Number)

II. GENERATOR COMPLIANCE

Comments

A. Waste Identification

1. F-Solvents

a. Does the handler generate the following wastes?

(i) F001, F002, F004, or F005 ☒ Yes ☐ No

(ii) F003 ☐ Yes ☒ No

If an F003 wastestream (listed solely for ignitability) has been mixed with a non-restricted solid or hazardous waste, does the resultant mixture exhibit the ignitability characteristic?

N/A Yes ☐ No ☐

b. Source of the above: Form 8700-12 ☒; Part A ☐
; Part B ☐; Biennial/Annual Reports ☒
other (specify) ☒ MANIFESTS

Appendix A is intended to assist the inspector and enforcement official in determining whether the facility is generating F-solvent wastes, if such wastes were not identified by the facility previously. If you are concerned that F-solvent wastes may be misclassified or mislabeled, turn to Appendix A-1. To assist in identifying potentially

misclassified F-solvents, Appendix A-2 presents a list of corresponding P and U wastes. Note concerns below:

2. Dioxin wastes

- a. Does the handler report the generation of the following wastes? (The following industries may generate listed dioxin wastes: organic chemicals, pesticide or formulator.)

(i) F020 - F023, F026 - F027 ☐ Yes ☒ No
(ii) F028 ☐ Yes ☒ No

[F-solvent BDAT standards are presented as Appendix B]

3. California Waste Identification

- a. Does the facility handle any of the following wastes?

(i) D002 ☐ Yes ☒ No
(ii) D004 - D011 ☐ Yes ☒ No

- b. Does the generator handle any hazardous wastes characterized by high concentrations of halogenated organic constituents (HOCs), metals, or cyanides? ☐ Yes ☒ No

[California waste standards are presented as Appendix C]

- c. Is the generator handling any of the F, K, P, or U wastes subject to the "soft hammer" that may qualify as California wastes due to HOC, metals, or cyanide content? See Appendix D for a listing of California constituents likely to be found by waste code. ☐ Yes ☒ No

- d. Has the generator conducted the paint filter test (Method 9095) [§268.32(i)]? ☐ Yes ☒ No* - N/A

- e. Has the generator conducted any testing of these hazardous wastes to determine whether the concentrations qualify the hazardous wastes as California wastes? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If no, has the generator retained records documenting his "applied knowledge" that the hazardous waste is not a California waste?

☒ Yes ☐ No

2/ A potential violation is indicated

Comments

If "no" is answered to both parts of this question, a violation is indicated. [§268.7(a)]

Describe the nature of the records:

MSDS sheets.

- f. Source of the above: Form 8700-12 ; Part A ; Part B ; Biennial/Annual Report ✓; other (specify) ✓. MANIFESTS

4. First Third Waste Identification

- a. Does the generator handle any of the wastes listed as First Third Wastes in §268.10? See Appendix E for listing. List First Third Wastes handled by the generator here:

NONE

- b. Does the generator handle any soft-hammer wastes (Appendices D-1, D-2, and F)? If so, list those wastes:

NONE

- c. Are any of the soft-hammered wastes California wastes (see Appendix G)? N/A Yes No

If yes, the wastes must meet BDAT standards prior to disposal.

- d. Has the Regional Administrator received demonstrations/certifications for all soft hammered wastes to be land disposed [§268.8(a)(2)]? Yes N/A No*

- e. Source of the above: Form 8700-12 ; Part A ; Part B ; Biennial/Annual Report ✓; other (specify) ✓. MANIFESTS

B. BDAT Treatability Group - Treatment Standards Identification

1. Does the generator mix restricted wastes with different treatment standards for constituents of concern? Yes ✓ No
2. If yes, did the generator select the most stringent treatment standard for the constituent of concern [§268.41(b)]? N/A Yes No*

2/ A potential violation is indicated

Comments

3. F Solvents - -

- a. Did the generator correctly determine the appropriate treatability group [§268.41] of the waste (e.g., wastewaters containing solvents, nonwastewater (i.e., < 1% TOC), pharmaceutical wastewaters containing spent methylene chloride, all other spent solvent wastes)?
☒ Yes ☐ No*

4. California Wastes

- a. Did the generator correctly determine the distinction between liquid hazardous wastes and non-liquid hazardous wastes that contain HOCs in concentrations greater than 1,000 mg/kg [§268.32(h)]?
☒ Yes ☐ No*

5. First Third Wastes

- a. Did the generator ascertain whether restricted wastes were appropriately assigned wastewater or nonwastewater designations (nonwastewaters are > 1% TOC and > 1% suspended solids) [§268.7(a)]?
☒ Yes ☐ No*

- b. Does the facility handle K061 wastes?
☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, were nonwastewaters appropriately classified in either the high or low zinc subcategories ($\geq 15\%$ Zn) [§268.7(a)] [§268.41(a)]?
☒ Yes ☐ No*

- c. Does the facility handle K101 or K102 wastes?
☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, were nonwastewaters appropriately classified in either the high or low arsenic subcategories [§268.7(a)] [§268.41(a)]?
☒ Yes ☐ No*

- d. Is there any reason to believe that the generator may have diluted the waste to change the applicable treatment standard (based on review of process operation, pipe routing, point of sampling)?
☐ Yes ☒ No

2/ A potential violation is indicated

Comments

C. Waste Analysis - -

1. Did the generator determine whether the waste exceeds treatment standards based on §268.7(a):

a. Knowledge of wastes ✓Yes ___No

(i) List wastes for which "applied knowledge" was used:

FOOS - MEK-SOLVENT

b. TCLP ___Yes ✓No

(i) List wastes for which "TCLP" was used:

NONE

(ii) Appendix D lists wastes for which treatment standards are expressed as concentrations in waste extract. Were any wastes handled by the generator subject to waste extract standards not tested using the TCLP? ___Yes ___No

If yes, list: FOOS

c. Total waste analysis ___Yes ✓No

d. If files were retained, describe content and basis of applied knowledge determination:

If determined by TCLP or total constituent analysis, provide date of last test, frequency of testing, and attach test results.

Dates/frequency: NONE

Note which wastes were subjected to which tests:

Note any problems (e.g., inadequate analysis, variation of waste composition/generation for applied knowledge) _____

NO SAMPLE ANALYSIS/ DATA ON HAND.

Comments

e. Were wastes tested using TCLP or total constituent analysis when a process or wastestream changed [§264.13(a)(3)(i) or §265.13(a)(3)(i)]?

N/A Yes No*

2. Did the restricted wastes exceed applicable treatment group treatment standards upon generation [§268.7(a)(1)]?

List those that exceeded standards: FOOS

List those that did not exceed standards: _____

3. Did the generator dilute the waste or the treatment residual so as to substitute for adequate treatment [§268.3] _____ Yes* No

D. Management

1. Onsite management

a. Were restricted wastes managed onsite?

Yes No

If no, go to "2".

b. For wastes that exceed treatment standards, was treatment in regulated units, storage for greater than 90 days, and/or disposal conducted? _____ Yes No

If yes, TSDP checklist must be completed.

2. Offsite Management

a. If restricted wastes exceed treatment standards, did generator provide treatment facility notification with each shipment? [268.7(a)(1)]:

(i) EPA Hazardous Waste Number? * Yes No*

(ii) Corresponding treatment standard? _____ Yes No*

(iii) Manifest number? _____ Yes No*

(iv) Waste analysis, if available? _____ Yes No

GENERATOR IS APPARENTLY ASSUMING THAT THE WASTE(S) EXCEED TREATMENT STAND UPON GENERATION

COMPANY OPERATES A MEK RECYCLING UNIT ON-SITE.

* GENERATOR STATES THAT NOTIFICATIONS ARE SENT WITH MANIFESTED SHIPMENTS HOWEVER NO COPIES ARE MAINTAINED BY GENERATOR.

GENERATOR HAS BLANK (ADDITIONAL) NOTIFICATION CERTIFICATE FORMS FOR FUTURE USE WHICH REFLECT ALL INFORMATION OUTLINED IN ITEM D 2.

2/ A potential violation is indicated

Comments

Identify offsite treatment facilities SPECTRON
OLDOVER CORP., M&M CHEMICAL

- b. If restricted wastes do not exceed treatment standards, did generator provide the disposal facility with a notice and certification including:

- (i) EPA hazardous waste I.D. number? * Yes No*
(ii) Corresponding treatment standard? Yes No*
(iii) Manifest number Yes No*
(iii) Certification regarding waste and that it meets treatment standards? Yes No*

** NO COMPLETED
COPIES AVAILABLE
FOR INSPECTION.*

Identify land disposal facilities receiving the
BDAT certified wastes _____

- c. If the generator's waste is subject to a §268.5 case by case exemption, a §268.6 "no migration" exemption, or a nationwide variance (see Appendix E for restricted wastes subject to nationwide variances), does the generator's records indicate that he or she submits with each waste shipment [§268.7(a)(3)]:

N/A

- (i) EPA Hazardous Waste Number? Yes No*
(ii) Corresponding Treatment Standards? Yes No*
(iii) All applicable prohibitions? Yes No*
(iv) The manifest number? Yes No*
(v) The date the wastes are subject to prohibitions? Yes No*
(vi) Does generator keep records of all notifications/certifications sent to offsite facilities? Yes No*

2/ A potential violation is indicated

Comments

List all prohibited wastes for which records are not provided per above [§268.7(a)(b)]:

F005

Identify TSDFs receiving any prohibited wastes subject to any exemptions and variances:

NONE

- d. If handler generates a "soft hammer" waste, does the generator send with each "soft hammer" waste shipment to a TSDF and retain copies of, a notice that includes [268.7(a)(4)]:

The EPA Hazardous Waste Number? Yes N/A No*

Applicable prohibitions? Yes No*

The manifest number? Yes No*

Waste analysis data, where available? Yes No

- (i) Do the generator's records indicate that any soft-hammer wastes are destined for disposed in a landfill or surface impoundment [§268.33(f)]? N/A Yes No

If yes, list facility of destination and waste of concern [§268.8(a)(2)]

N/A

- (ii) Has the generator submitted demonstrations and certifications for each "soft-hammered" waste destined to be disposed in landfill or surface impoundment to the Regional Administrator prior to the shipment of waste to the TSDF [§268.7(a)(2)]? N/A Yes No*

- (iii) Has the generator retained a copy of the demonstration on site [§268.8(a)(3)-(a)(4)]? N/A Yes No*

- (iv) Has the generator retained copies of all §268.8 certifications sent to the TSDF [§268.7(a)(6)]? N/A Yes No*

Comments

(v) Did the generator submit the demonstration to the receiving facility upon the initial shipment of the waste [§268.8(a)(3)-(a)(4)]? N/A Yes No*

(vi) If the Regional Administrator has invalidated the certification, has the generator ceased shipment of the waste and do records indicate that the generator has informed all receiving facilities of the invalidation [§268.8(b)(3)]? N/A Yes No*

E. Storage of Prohibited Waste

1. Were prohibited wastes stored for greater than 90 days? Yes ✓ No

If yes, was facility operating as a TSD under interim status or final permit [§262.34(b)]? Yes No*

If yes, TSDP Checklist must be completed.

F. Treatment Using RCRA 264/265 Exempt Units or Processes (i.e., boilers, furnaces, distillation units, waste-water treatment tanks, etc.)

1. Were treatment residuals generated from RCRA 264/265 exempt units or processes? ✓ Yes No

If yes, list type of treatment unit and processes

MEK - DISTILLATION UNIT

If yes, TSDP checklist must be completed.

General

8. 1. Is any restricted waste being diluted as
as substitute for treatment? Yes ☒ No
- 8.7(c)(i) 2. Does the facility retain copies of notifications,
certifications and demonstrations accompanying
8.8(c) waste shipments as well as all waste analysis data? Yes ☒ No

Storage Facilities

- 8.50(c) 3. Does the facility store in tanks or containers
restricted wastes exceeding treatment standards
for longer than one year? Yes ☒ No
- If yes, can the facility prove that such storage
was solely for the purpose of accumulating quantities
which are necessary to facilitate proper recovery,
treatment or disposal? *N/A* Yes No

- 8.50(a) 4. Are all containers labelled and dated? ☒ Yes No
2)(i)

Treatment Facilities *

- 8.7(b) 5. Has the facility revised its waste analysis plan
to facilitate proper testing of wastes/waste extracts
to identify status (i.e., restricted or non-restricted)? Yes ☒ No
- 8.7(b) 6. Does the facility test its wastes in accordance with
1)(2)(3) the waste analysis plan? *NO PLAN* Yes No
- 8.7(b) 7. Are the following performed as necessary:
- 8.7(b)(1) a. TCLP analysis of waste extract to determine
compliance with applicable treatment
standards? Yes ☒ No * N/A
- 8.7(b)(2) b. Waste residue analysis for prohibitions? Yes ☒ No N/A
- 8.7(b)(3) c. Waste residue analysis to determine compliance
with applicable treatment standards? Yes ☒ No N/A
- 8.7(b)(4) 8. Does the facility send a notice with each waste
shipment to the disposal facility that includes
applicable treatment standards and waste analysis
data? Yes No *

* COMPANY OPERATES AN EXEMPT UNIT:
(MEK-DISTILLATION UNIT) NOTIFICATIONS & CERTIFICATIONS
ARE SENT TO DISPOSAL FACILITY INDICATING THAT
THE WASTES EXCEED TREATMENT STANDARDS;
BASED UPON APPLIED KNOWLEDGE (MSDS) AND
WASTE PROFILE CONDUCTED BY DISPOSER. GENE
COMPANY HAD NO COPIES OF COMPLETED NOTIFICATION
OR WASTE PROFILE (ANALYSIS) ON FILE FOR REVIEW

prior to treatment:

Yes ☒ No

If yes, was the most stringent treatment standard for the constituent(s) used?

Yes No

- 268 (b)(5) 10. Does the facility submit a certification with each waste shipment to the disposal facility stating that the waste has received the proper treatment and is in compliance with applicable performance standards or prohibitions?

Yes ☒ No

- 68.7(b)(6) 11. If the treatment facility ships the waste to another treatment/storage facility for further management, does it comply with the notice and certification requirements applicable to generators?

** ACCORDING TO COMPANY REPRESENTATIVES, HOWEVER NO COMPLETED NOTIFICATION / CERTIFICATION KEPT ON SITE BY GENERATOR*

Yes ☒ No ☒ N/A

12. Does the facility treat any soft hammer wastes?

Yes ☒ No

If yes, answer the following:

- 68.8(c)(1) Is the treatment provided as described in the generators certification/demonstration?

Yes No

- 68.8(c)(1) Did the facility certify it treated the soft hammer waste in accordance with the generators demonstration?

Yes No

- 68.8(c)(2) Did the facility send a copy of the generator's certification/demonstration to the facility receiving the treated soft hammer waste?

Yes ☒ No ☒

- 68.4(a)(1) 13. Is any restricted waste being treated in surface impoundments?

Yes ☒ No

If yes, answer the following:

Describe treatment that is occurring in surface impoundment

- 268.4(a)(3) 15. Have the minimum technology requirements been met (i.e., dual liners and leachate collection system?) Yes No
- If no, has a waiver/exemption been granted? Yes No
- 268.4(a)(2) 16. Are treatment residues (liquid and sludge) tested to determine if they meet applicable treatment standards/prohibition levels? Yes No
- If yes, how frequently? N
- 268.4(a)(2) 17. Did the waste residue (liquid or sludge) exceed the treatment standard/prohibition level? Yes A No
- 268.4(a)(2)(ii) If yes, were these residues (liquid or sludge) removed on an annual basis? Yes No
- 268.4(a)(2)(iii) If yes, were these residues placed in another surface impoundment? Yes No

Land Disposal Facilities

18. Does the facility:
- a. Have an updated waste analysis plan? Yes No
- 268.7(c)(2) b. Test the waste in accordance with its waste analysis plan to assure that it complies with applicable treatment standards and prohibitions? Yes No
- 268.7(c)(2) c. Perform the appropriate tests (i.e., TCLP vs. total waste)? N Yes No
- 268.7(c)(3) 19. Were restricted and/or prohibited wastes exceeding the applicable treatment standards or prohibition levels being placed in land disposal units? A Yes No
- 268.5 If yes, were any of these wastes subject to a national capacity variance, a no migration petition or
- 268.6 a case by case extension? Yes No
- Answer the following questions if the facility disposes of soft hammer wastes.

• (n)(2)
i)

20. Is the landfill receiving soft hammer waste in compliance with groundwater monitoring requirements and does it have at least two liners and a leachate collection system?

Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A

8 () (2)
ii)(iv)

21. Is the surface impoundment receiving soft hammer waste in compliance with groundwater monitoring requirements and does it have at least two liners and a leachate collection system?

Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A

WASTE REQUIRES TREATMENT PRIOR TO LAND DISPOSAL

Generator: _____

Profile #: _____

This notification is submitted to _____ in accordance with regulations effective November 8, 1986 to be promulgated at 40 CFR Section 268.7(a)(1). 40 CFR Section 268.7(a) requires the generator to test his waste or an extract developed using the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) described in Appendix I of Part 268 51 Federal Register 40,643, or using knowledge of the waste to determine if the waste is restricted from land disposal.

EPA Hazardous Waste No. F001, F002, F003, F004, and F005 are "restricted wastes" and banned from land disposal effective November 8, 1986, unless one or more of the following conditions apply: (1) the generator of the solvent waste is a small quantity generator, (2) the solvent waste is generated from response action taken under CERCLA or corrective action taken under RCRA, or (3) the solvent waste is a solvent-water mixture, solvent-containing sludge or solvent-contaminated soil (non-CERCLA or RCRA corrective action) containing less than 1% (10,000 ppm) total F001-F005 solvent constituents listed in Table CCWE of Section 268.41. (This Table is reprinted on the reverse side).

If a generator determines he is managing a restricted waste and the waste requires treatment prior to land disposal, for each shipment of such waste, the generator must notify the treatment facility in writing of the appropriate treatment standard. This notification must include the information to be provided below.

1. EPA Hazardous Waste Number _____
2. Waste Material Profile No. (if applicable) _____
3. Corresponding Treatment Standard (see REVERSE SIDE)
4. Manifest No. associated with this shipment of waste _____
5. Waste analysis data, where available (please attach)

I hereby certify that all information submitted in this and all associated documents is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and information.

PLEASE BE SURE TO CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX(ES) ON THE REVERSE SIDE BEFORE SIGNING.

Signed (authorized representative of genertor) Title _____ Date _____

Note: A copy of this Notice must accompany each manifested load as required by 40 CFR 268.7(a)(1).

INSTRUCTIONS: For each solvent waste constituent present in your waste shipment, check the appropriate box in front of the applicable treatment standards(s). If based upon best knowledge and information, your waste shipment may contain some or all of the solvent constituents listed below, please mark the appropriate box(es) or the box labeled "All of the above" at the bottom.*

Solvent Constituent	Treatment Standard (mg/l)	
	Wastewaters	All Other Wastes
Acetone	0.05	0.59
n-Butyl Alcohol	5.0	5.0
Carbon Disulfide	1.05	4.81
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.05	0.96
Chlorobenzene	0.15	0.05
Cresols	2.82	0.75
Cresylic Acid	2.82	0.75
Cyclohexanone	0.125	0.75
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.65	0.125
Ethyl Acetate	0.05	0.75
Ethyl Benzene	0.05	0.053
Ethyl Ether	0.05	0.75
Isobutanol	5.0	5.0
Methanol	0.25	0.75
Methylene Chloride	0.20	0.96
Methylene Chloride (from pharmaceutical industry)	12.7	0.96
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	0.05	0.75
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.05	0.33
Nitrobenzene	0.66	0.125
Pyridine	1.12	0.33
Tetrachloroethylene	0.079	0.05
Toluene	1.12	0.33
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.05	0.41
1,2,2-Trichloro- 1,2,2-Trifluoroethane	1.05	0.96
Trichloroethylene	0.062	0.091
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.05	0.96
Xylene	0.05	0.15
All of the above *		

* Please note that where a generator's determination of the appropriate treatment standard is based upon his knowledge of the waste, the generator must maintain in his operating record all supporting data used to make this determination. (See 51 Fed. Reg. at 40,597.)

DATE OF SHIPMENT _____

MANIFEST NUMBER _____

GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION

I hereby declare that the contents of this consignment are fully and accurately described above by proper shipping name and are classified, packed, marked, and labeled, and are in all respects in proper condition for transport by highway according to applicable international and national regulations.

If I am a large quantity generator, I certify that I have a program in place to reduce the volume and toxicity of waste generated to the degree I have determined to be economically practicable and that I have selected the practicable method of treatment, storage, or disposal currently available to me which minimizes the present and future threat to human health and the environment; OR, if I am a small quantity generator, I have made a good faith effort to minimize my waste generation and select the best waste management method that is available to me and that I can afford.

Printed/Typed Name

Signature

Company

Address

City, State, Zip Code